

### **Our Contributions**

- Proposed Bayesian Detector Combination (BDC), a model -agnostic framework to simultaneously infer:
  - 1. the annotation quality of each annotator,
  - 2. the consensus bounding boxes,
  - 3. and soft labels

from noisy crowdsourced object annotations without any additional inputs.

- Introduced a benchmark to systematically evaluate BDC and previous methods using synthetic datasets with crowdsourced annotations simulating varying crowdsourcing scenarios.
- Demonstrated superior performance, scalability and robustness of BDC with extensive experiments.

## **Noisy crowdsourced object annotations**

- Often difficult and expensive to obtain accurate annotations.
- High disagreements observed in complex domains due to high interobserver variability; challenging to achieve consensus.





Noisy annotations in MSCOCO Disagreements in VinDr-CXR This can result in *multiple noisy*, *inconsistent object annotations* originating from multiple annotators per image.

## Limitations of existing solutions

Algorithmic limitations:

- Majority voting: Assumes equal annotator annotation accuracy;
- Crowd R-CNN [1]: Not generalisable to other object detectors;
- WBF-EARL [2]: Requires annotators' proficiency levels.

Evaluation limitation: Prior works used private synthetic crowdsourced datasets constructed under different setups; cannot compare their results directly.

# **Bayesian Detector Combination for Object Detection with Crowdsourced Annotations**

Zhi Qin Tan, Olga Isupova, Gustavo Carneiro, Xiatian Zhu, and Yunpeng Li



Matching annotations to model predictions

## Optimal prediction for each annotation is found by minimising: $\hat{y}_m^* = \arg\min_{\hat{y}_n \in \hat{y}} \mathcal{L}_{match}(\hat{y}_n, y_m) ,$

- One-to-many matching
- Local minimum matching cost

## Modelling annotators' annotations as distributions

### **Bounding Box Aggregator**

Scaling and translation errors of each annotator modelled using **Gaussian** distributions with **Gaussian-Gamma** conjugate prior:

 $p(\epsilon_m | k_m = k, \mu, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\mu^k, \sigma^k)$ .

 $\epsilon_m = \left| \hat{b}^*_{m(1)} - b_{m(1)}, \ \hat{b}^*_{m(2)} - b_{m(2)}, \ \hat{b}^*_{m(2)} - b_{$ 

• Annotations are corrected with the posterior mean:

$$b_m := (b_m + [\mu_{(1)}^k, \, \mu_{(2)}^k, \, 0, \, 0]$$

• All annotations matched to the same prediction are aggregated using the posterior precision as weight.

### **Class Label Aggregator**

- Integrated Bayesian classifier combination neural network [3].
- Modelled the annotated class labels of each annotator as *multinomial distributions* conditioning on the true object label:

$$p(c_m|k_m = k, t_m = j)$$

- Have a Dirichlet conjugate prior.
- The aggregated class label probability is computed as:

 $\rho_{n,j} = \exp\left[\ln \hat{p}_{n,j} + \right]$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{match}(\hat{y}_n, y_m) = -\hat{p}_{n(c_m)} + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{IoU}(\hat{b}_n, b_m) + \lambda_2 ||\hat{b}_n - b_m||_1 .$ 

$$\hat{b}_{m(3)}^* \div b_{m(3)}, \ \hat{b}_{m(4)}^* \div b_{m(4)} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

 $)\odot\left[1,\,1,\,\mu_{(3)}^k,\,\mu_{(4)}^k
ight]$  .

# $(j,\pi) = \pi_{j,c_m}^k$ .

$$\sum_{k \in \tilde{\kappa}_n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_j^k} \ln \pi_{j,c}^k \right)$$

## **Experiments and Results**

## by 17 expert radiologists.

Lung Opacity	
Atelectasis on	Atelectasison
Pulmonary fibrosis	Pulmonary fibrosi
Pleural thickening	
(a) NA	(b) MV

settings with VOC and MSCOCO datasets.

Method	Test AP <sup>.4</sup>			Mathad	Test AP <sup>.5</sup>		
	YOLOv7	FRCNN	EVA	Method	YOLOv7	FRCNN	EVA
NA	17.4	17.2	7.8	NA	53.4	39.7	71.8
MV	13.9	16.3	8.2	MV	61.9	55.6	74.8
Crowd R-CNN [1]	-	16.7	-	Crowd R-CNN [1]	-	48.5	-
WBF-EARL [2]	16.4	17.0	8.4	WBF-EARL [2]	55.6	51.9	74.7
BDC (ours)	19.2	17.9	8.9	BDC (ours)	65.0	56.6	78.0

Table: AP metrics for (left) VinDr-CXR and (right) COCO-FULL synthetic datasets with 10 synthetic annotators of varying annotating accuracies.



- Access, 11, 2023.





Real-world dataset: VinDr-CXR: thoracic abnormalities annotated





(d) Crowd R-CNN

(e) BDC (ours)

Synthetic datasets: simulate various synthetic crowdsourcing

BDC scales well with the number of annotators and is robust to the percentage of noisy annotators with poor reliability.

[1] Hu and Meina. Crowd R-CNN: An object detection model utilizing crowdsourced labels. In ICVISP, 2020. [2] Le et al. Learning from multiple expert annotators for enhancing anomaly detection in medical image analysis. *IEEE* 

[3] Isupova et al. BCCNet: Bayesian classifier combination neural network. In NeurIPS ML4D, 2018.